## edgefinder

#### Haim Bar

#### 2020-08-01

The edgefinder package is used to find edges in gene networks using co-expression data. The input to the program is a normalized expression matrix, with genes (nodes) in the rows, and samples in the columns. The program calculates the pair-wise correlations, performs Fisher's Z transformation, and fits the L2N model to the transformed data. L2N is a mixture model with three components: the uncorrelated pairs belong to the null component which is assumed to be normally distributed, and the correlated pairs belong to one of the two non-null components which are assumed to follow lognormal distributions.

Typical datasets consist of hundreds, or thousands of genes, and hence a very large number of pairs. Therefore, edgefinder randomly selects a subset of the pairs (the default number of pairs is 20,000), fits the L2N model to the subset, and calculates the component probabilities for *all* possible pairs. Using the posterior probabilities, edgefinder determines which pairs are highly correlated while controlling the false discovery rate. Note that edgefinder makes no assumptions about the structure of the network.

The edgefinder package depends on the 'Matrix' package, to allow for efficient storage and computation of large co-occurrence matrices. For simulating datasets we used the 'huge' and 'MASS' packages, but they are not required when using edgefinder.

## Real data examples

We use a publicly available dataset from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/GDSbrowser?acc=GDS4430 (Horev G, Ellegood J, Lerch JP, Son YE et al. Dosage-dependent phenotypes in models of 16p11.2 lesions found in autism. Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A. 2011, Oct. 11;108(41):17076-81. PMID: 21969575).

The dataset contains three groups: wild type (2 copies of 16p11.2), deletion (1 copy), and duplication (3 copies). We focus on a subset of 3,454, genes which were found to be differentially expressed when comparing the wild-type and duplication groups (using an FDR threshold of 0.05.) We fit the L2N model to this set of genes in each group, and compare the properties of the two networks. First, we load the wild-type data (WT). WT is a matrix with 3454 rows (genes) and 15 columns (samples) from the wild-type group.

```
library("edgefinder")
# Wild-type first:
data(WT)
WTres <- edgefinder(WT, ttl = "Wild Type")
shortSummary(WTres)</pre>
```

The **edgefinder** function fits the L2N model to the data, and plots the fitted mixture distribution:



The function **shortSummary** produces the following output:

No. nodes = 3,454 Max no. edges = 5,963,331 No. edges detected = 80,332 p1 = 0.0942 p2 = 0.0185 Est. FDR <= 0.00997

Note that the estimated FDR is calculated based on the fitted L2N model. The default FDR threshold used by the edgefinder function is 0.01, and in this case, the empirical FDR is very close to the level set by the user. If the empirical FDR is too high, you may increase **LOvals** from its default value (30). This will result in larger (stricter) thresholds for determining significant correlations, and will decrease the proportion of false discoveries. The FDR threshold (the **BHthr** parameter) should be set according to the number of edges. In this example, the algorithm finds 80,332 edges, and an FDR of 0.01 means that at most 800 of the detected edges may not be true discoveries. If this number of edges is too large in the sense that it may affect our inference about the network structure, or a subsequent gene enrichment analysis, we could lower the FDR threshold.

The function graphComponents finds clusters of genes. To do that, it takes as input an adjacency (0/1) matrix (e.g. WTres\$AdjMat in our example.) To find clusters it first calculates a centrality for each node, using the formula (type\*CC+1)\*deg where deg is the degree of the node, and CC is its clustering coefficient (CC). type is set by default to 1. When it is set to 0, the centrality measure is just the degree of the node. Setting type=1 means that we assign a higher value to nodes that not only have many neighbors, but the neighbors are highly interconnected. For example, suppose we have two components with k nodes, one has a star shape, and the other is a complete graph. With type=0 both graphs will get the same value, but with type=1 the complete graph will be picked by the algorithm first. You can also set a minimum centrality value (the parameter minCtr) to determine the smallest possible cluster size.

The function returns a data frame with the following information about each node: a label (e.g. gene name), degree, clustering coefficient, centrality measure, cluster number, iscenter (1 for the node was chosen as the cluster's center, 0 otherwise), the number of edges from the node to nodes in the same cluster the number of edges from the node to nodes in the same cluster the number of edges from the node in the cluster (in terms of the number neighbors they do not have in common.)

WTComp <- graphComponents(WTres\$AdjMat)</pre>

head(WTComp)

	labels	degree	cc	ctr	clustNo	iscenter	intEdges	extEdges	distCenter
1	1	251	0.5999044	401.5760	1	0	187	64	0.072958888
2	2	0	0.000000	0.0000	0	0	0	0	0.00000000
3	3	202	0.7217378	347.7910	1	0	164	38	0.072090330
4	4	202	0.5819910	319.5622	4	0	98	104	0.008396063
5	5	0	0.000000	0.0000	0	0	0	0	0.00000000
6	6	9	0.6944444	15.2500	0	0	0	0	0.00000000

The function **summarizeClusters** returns summary statistics about each cluster. It prints the number of nodes, edges, clusters and unclustered nodes to the screen, and returns a matrix with cluster number, number of nodes in the cluster, fivenum summary for the degrees of nodes in the cluster, and fivenum summary for the percentage of edges that are within the cluster.

summtab <- summarizeClusters(WTComp)
head(summtab[,1:7])
head(summtab[,c(1:2,8:12)])</pre>

Num of nodes: 3454 Num of edges: 80332 Num of clusters: 72 Num of unclustered nodes: 1837

	Cluster	Nodes	degreeMin	degreeQ25	degree Median	degreeQ75	degreeMax
[1,]	1	374	59	222.0	257	299.0	373
[2,]	2	69	17	96.0	134	164.0	234
[3,]	3	39	2	53.5	74	122.5	209
[4,]	4	107	25	108.0	130	155.5	209
[5,]	5	35	26	58.5	80	109.0	154
[6,]	6	19	17	45.5	80	108.5	133

	Cluster	Nodes	pctInClstMin	pctInClstQ25	pctInClstMedian	pctInClstQ75	pctInClstMax
[1,]	1	374	0.52073733	0.78536585	0.8452080	0.9083969	1.0000000
[2,]	2	69	0.07109005	0.23952096	0.3061224	0.4226804	0.8235294
[3,]	3	39	0.03571429	0.09923455	0.1358025	0.2197585	1.000000
[4,]	4	107	0.18750000	0.44693586	0.5555556	0.6298886	0.8529412
[5,]	5	35	0.10344828	0.21717172	0.2777778	0.3584826	0.7692308
[6,]	6	19	0.06666667	0.10270206	0.1262136	0.1594156	0.4210526

It can be seen, for example, the cluster 1 has 374 nodes, and most of them have many neighbors (more than 75% of them have at least 222 edges), and this cluster is very interconnected (at least 75% of the nodes are mostly connected within the cluster with at least 79% of their edges being inside the cluster.

Next, we can visualize clusters using the **plotCluster** function. For example, to plot clusters 5 and 9 we use the following syntax:

# plotCluster(WTres\$AdjMat,5,WTComp) plotCluster(WTres\$AdjMat,9,WTComp)

The central node is marked by a black circle. The radius of each point corresponds to its degree. The opacity corresponds to the percentage of edges from the node that is in the cluster (the darker it is, the larger the percentage of edges is within the cluster.) The distance from the center corresponds to the relative dissimilarity with the central node. This is computed as the number of neighbors the node and the central node do not have in common. For example, in cluster 9 (right plot) the dark shade of blue of all the nodes shows that the majority of edges connecting to these nodes are within the cluster. In contrast, the nodes in

cluster 4 (left) have a larger percentage of their neighbors outside the cluster.



Indeed, when we look at the data

summtab[9,c(1:2,8:12)]									
Cluster	Nodes	pctInClstMin	pctInClstQ25 pct	tInClstMedian	pctInClstQ75	pctI			
9.000000	108.0000000	0.6857143	0.8768939	0.9301901	0.9657132	1			

We see that the cluster contains 108 nodes, and the smallest percentage of within-cluster edges is 68.5%, and for 75% of the nodes, the percentage is greater than 87.6%. This means that cluster 9 is highly inter-connected, and fairly isolated.

We can collapse the network data for more compact visualization by defining a subset in which clusters are represented by their central nodes. The function **collapsedGraph** returns an adjacency matrix which contains all the unclustered nodes, and the centers of the clusters. The elements in the matrix contain the total number of edges in the original graph. That is, the total count of edges between clusters i and j is stored in the matrix, rather than just 0/1. To convert it to a 0/1 adjacency matrix we can use the following:

```
Adj1 <- collapsedGraph(WTres$AdjMat, WTComp) > 0
```

We can use the **igraph** package to visualize the collapsed network. For example, the following code will produce a network graph containing all the clusters and unclustered nodes which have at least one neighbor.

```
library("igraph")
inc <- which(Matrix::rowSums(Adj1) > 0)
plot(graph.adjacency(Adj1[inc,inc], mode="undirected"),
            vertex.label.cex=0.7, vertex.size=0.1, edge.color='lightgreen',asp=1)
```

If we want to show only the relationships between clusters, we use the following:

```
library("igraph")
inc <- which(substr(rownames(Adj1),1,3) == "CLS")
plot(graph.adjacency(Adj1[inc,inc], mode="undirected"),vertex.label.cex=0.7,
vertex.size=0.1,edge.color='lightgreen', asp=1)</pre>
```

This gives the following graph, where it can be seen that cluster 9 is connected to clusters 8, 19, 20, 33, and 35.



If we want to create a subset of the original data by taking a representative from each clusters, we can do the following

```
WTclustered <- WT[union(which(WTComp$iscenter == 1), which(WTComp$clustNo == 0)),]
dim(WTclustered)
[1] 1909 15
```

#### $Other \ visualizations:$

The **plotDegCC** function can be used to plot the degree of nodes versus the degree times the clustering coefficient of nodes. We can also highlight specific groups. For example, in the following code we highlight cluster 1, which as we've seen before, is a large (374 genes) and highly connected 75% of the nodes have at least 222 neighbors, and most of the connections are within the cluster (75% of the nodes have at least 78.5% of their neighbors within the cluster.)

plotDegCC(WTres,WTComp,highlightNodes = which(WTComp\$clustNo==1))



The **plotBitmapCC** function is used to show the network as a 0/1 matrix, where a black dot corresponds to an edge in the graph. Setting **orderByDegree=T** is used to sort the nodes by clusters. When set to FALSE, the original order of the nodes as it appears in the gene expression file, is preserved. We can create the bitmap plot for nodes with degree greater than or equal to some threshold. For example, **showMinDegree=30** will result in a plot which includes only node which have at least 30 neighbors.

plotBitmapCC(WTres\$AdjMat, WTComp, orderByCluster=TRUE, showMinDegree = 30)



We repeat the same process with the duplication group. DUP is a matrix with 3454 rows (genes) and 12 columns (samples). We only show the collapsed cluster plot, and observe that unlike the WT group, the

network in the DUP group consists of two "super-clusters".

```
data("DUP")
DUPres <- edgefinder(DUP, ttl = "Duplication")
DUPComp <- graphComponents(DUPres$AdjMat)
Adj2 <- collapsedGraph(DUPres$AdjMat, DUPComp) > 0
inc <- which(substr(rownames(Adj2),1,3) == "CLS")
plot(graph.adjacency(Adj2[inc,inc], mode="undirected"),vertex.label.cex=0.7,
vertex.size=0.1,edge.color='lightgreen', asp=1)</pre>
```

CLS45



### Simulated data

The following examples shows a simulated dataset with a hub structure, consisting of 1000 nodes and 50 hubs. The bitmap plot shows the network that was created by edgefinder.

```
library("huge")
library("MASS")
N=200; D=1000
set.seed(23197)
L = huge.generator(n = N, d = D, graph = "hub", g=50, v = 0.3, u = 0.1)
x = mvrnorm(N, rep(0, D), L$sigma)
```

Data generated like this is provided with the package in a dataset called SIM. We perform similar analysis and display the bitmap plot, which shows that edgefinder finds the actual network structure overall, with almost no false discoveries. We also display the network of cluster 1, which shows that the cluster is how we expected it to be, with one central node with high degree (the hub gene), with interconnected neighbors each having a smaller degree than the hub gene. From the dark shade of blue for each node, we can infer that the nodes are connected within the cluster but almost no edges to other clusters or nodes. The smallest percentage of edges within cluster 1 is 66.7%, and at least 75% of the nodes are connected only to nodes within the same cluster.

```
data(SIM)
Sres <- edgefinder(SIM, ttl = "Simulation", BHthr=0.05)
plotBitmapCC(Sres$AdjMat,orderByCluster=FALSE)
SIMComp <- graphComponents(Sres$AdjMat)
plotCluster(Sres$AdjMat,1,SIMComp)
sumtab <- summarizeClusters(SIMComp)
sumtab[1,c(1:2,8:12)]</pre>
```

